### MOTIVATION

- Embedding-based evaluation measures have shown promising improvements
- Various intrinsic metrics are used in these measures
- The relations between these metrics are unclear, making it difficult to determine which measure to use in real applications.

## **INTRINSIC METRICS**



**Existing Intrinsic Metrics:** 

- Generalized precision, recall, F-score (In BERTScore (ICLR, 2019))
- Earth mover's distance (In WMD (ICML, 2014), MoverScore (EMNLP, 2019))

We prove that they correspond to optimal transport plan under different hard constraints

$\min_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times m}_+}$	$\langle {f C}, {f P}  angle$	
s.t. $\mathbf{P}\mathbb{1}_m =$	$\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{P}^T \mathbb{1}_n = \boldsymbol{\nu}.$	$EMD = \langle C, P^* \rangle$
s.t. $\mathbf{P}\mathbb{1}_m =$	$\mu \longrightarrow$	$P = \langle S, P_p^* \rangle$
<i>s.t</i> .	$\mathbf{P}^T \mathbb{1}_n = \boldsymbol{\nu}. \longrightarrow$	$R = \langle S, P_r^* \rangle$

### **MATCHING PROBLEMS**

Reference: The young man in a slicker.

- Candidate: The boy in a coat.
- paraphrase
- Incomplete matching: happens when paraphrases in two sentences are partly matched.
- Noisy matching: happens when words are matched to less related ones, instead of their semantic neighbors.

We prove that Generalized Precision, Recall and EMD have the above two problems.

# Lazy Earth Mover's Distance is a Better Intrinsic Metric



### HIGHLIGHTS

- Existing intrinsic metrics can be bridged by optimal transport problem. They correspond to optimal transport plan under different hard constraints on the marginal.
- Existing intrinsic metrics induce incomplete and noisy matching, due to the hard constraints.
- We propose Lazy Earth Mover's Distance, an intrinsic metric induced by optimal transport problem with soft bilateral constraints.
- Theoretically and experimentally, evaluation measure based on Lazy Earth Mover's Distance produces better evaluation results.

Existing intrinsic metrics



### Lazy-EMD



- Lazy-EMD is induced from unbalanced optimal transport problem, which relaxes the hard marginal constraints.
- Lazy-EMD recovers EMD, Generalized P, R at the limits:
   EMD = Lazy-EMD = 0, 100 mm

$$P = 1 - \text{Lazy-EMD}_{\infty,0}, \quad R = 1 - \text{Lazy-EMD}_{0,\infty}$$

• Lazy matching alleviates the incomplete and noisy matching problems: (c: distance p: matching weight)

$$p_i^* = \exp\left(-\frac{c_i}{\lambda_c} - \frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda_c}A\right) \cdot w_i \quad c_i \nearrow, p_i^* \searrow$$

### RESULTS

Experiments results on WMT19 translation benchmark.

- Evaluation quality is measured by segment level correlations with human judgements.
- Results show Lazy-EMD achieves the best on 12 of 15 language pairs

n	<b>cs-en</b> -/27k	<b>de-en</b> 85k/100k	<b>fi-en</b> 38k/32k	<b>gu-en</b> 31k/11k	<b>kk-en</b> 27k/18k	<b>lt-en</b> 22k/17k	<b>ru-en</b> 46k/24k	<b>zh-en</b> 31k/19k
SENTBLEU	-/.367	.056/.248	.233/.396	.188/.465	.377/.392	.262/.334	.125/.469	.323/.270
$P_{\text{BERT}}$	-/.444	.156/.314	.326/.498	.307/.519	.419/.493	.375/.422	.212/.540	.410/.306
$R_{\text{BERT}}$	-/.494	.160/.351	.346/.521	.295/.562	.416/ <b>.541</b>	.367/.449	.216/.577	.427/.352
$F_{\text{BERT}}$	-/.479	.166/.338	.344/.518	.313/.554	.434/.532	.375/.448	.223/.572	.430/.347
YiSi-1	-/.486	.165/.345	.346/.521	.317/.563	.433/.538	.373/.450	.225/.575	.433/.353
$F_{\alpha}$	-/.495	.165/.351	.344/.522	.314/.563	.434/.541	.375/.449	.223/.578	.429/ <b>.357</b>
EMD	-/.479	.159/.338	.342/.523	.318/.561	.432/.539	.377/.455	.215/.566	.430/.343
Lazy-EMD	-/.498	.174/.356	.346/.526	.318/.569	.431/ <b>.541</b>	.377/.466	.215/ <b>.582</b>	<b>.433</b> /.352

We use different penalty parameters  $\lambda_r$ ,  $\lambda_c$  for 3 kinds of different target languages (English, Chinese and others). The following table shows the performances of Lazy-EMD under the three different parameters on WMT19, to further study the influence of different penalty parameters.

$(\lambda_c,\lambda_r)$	<b>cs-en</b> -/27k	<b>de-en</b> 85k/100k	<b>fi-en</b> 38k/32k	<b>gu-en</b> 31k/11k	<b>kk-en</b> 27k/18k	<b>lt-en</b> 22k/17k	<b>ru-en</b> 46k/24k	<b>zh-en</b> 31k/19k
(0.23, 0.31)	-/.487	.174/.351	.346/.523	.318/.562	.431/.531	.377/.471	<b>.215</b> /.579	.433/.337
(0.009, 0.95)	-/.498	.172/.356	.343/.526	.292/.570	.413/.541	.369/.466	.213/.582	.427/.351
(0.018, 0.97)	-/.497	.174/.355	.343/ <b>.526</b>	.293/.569	.415/ <b>.541</b>	.368/.467	.214/.581	.426/ <b>.352</b>

It shows:

- The performance of Lazy-EMD is insensitive to slight variation on the parameters.
- Optimal parameter choices differ between languages.

TRY IT! ->